## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	
	§	
	§	<b>CASE NO. 6:14-CR-42-JDK</b>
vs.	§	
	§	
	§	
CARL EUGENE JULIAN (1)	§	

# REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON REVOCATION OF SUPERVISED RELEASE

On November 12, 2020, the Court held a final revocation hearing on a Petition for Warrant or Summons for Offender under Supervision. The Government was represented by Assistant United States Attorney Mary Ann Cozby. Defendant was represented by Assistant Federal Defender Matt Millslagle.

### **Background**

After pleading guilty to the offense of Conspiracy to Possess with Intent to Distribute 50 Grams or More Methamphetamine (Actual), a Class C felony, Defendant Carl Eugene Julian was sentenced on June 16, 2015 by United States District Judge Michael H. Schneider. The offense carried a statutory maximum imprisonment term of 20 years. The guideline imprisonment range, based on a total offense level of 27 and a criminal history category of II, was 78 to 97 months. Defendant was sentenced to 78 months of imprisonment to be followed by a 3-year term of supervised release. Defendant's supervision is subject to the standard conditions of release, plus special conditions to include financial disclosures and substance abuse testing and treatment.

Defendant completed his term of imprisonment and started his term of supervised release on October 11, 2019. The case was re-assigned to United States District Judge Jeremy D. Kernodle on January 16, 2020.

#### **Allegations**

In the Petition seeking to revoke Defendant's supervised release, filed on October 15, 2020, United States Probation Officer Daisy Pridgen alleges that Defendant violated the following condition of supervised release:

1. Allegation 1 (standard condition 7): The defendant shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol and shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any controlled substance or any paraphernalia related to any controlled substances, except as prescribed by a physician. It is alleged that Defendant submitted urine specimens on January 13, 2020, June 23, 2020, July 6, 2020 and September 30, 2020 that tested positive for methamphetamine.

## Applicable Law

According to 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(3), the Court may revoke the term of supervised release and require a Defendant to serve in prison all or part of the term of supervised release without credit for the time previously served under supervision, if it finds by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant violated a term of supervised release. Supervised release shall be revoked upon a finding of a Grade A or B supervised release violation. U.S.S.G. § 7B1.3(a)(1). In the present case, Defendant's original offense of conviction was a Class C felony. Accordingly, the maximum imprisonment sentence that may be imposed is 2 years of imprisonment. 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e).

Under the Sentencing Guidelines, which are non-binding,<sup>1</sup> if the Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant violated his conditions of supervised release by possessing methamphetamine as alleged in the petition, he is guilty of a Grade B violation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The United States Sentencing Guidelines as applied to revocations of supervised release "have always been non-binding, advisory guides to district courts in supervised release revocation proceedings." *United States v. Brown*, 122 Fed.Appx. 648, 2005 WL 518704, slip op. p.1 (citing *United States v. Davis*, 53 F.3d 638, 642 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995)); see also *United States v. Mathena*, 23 F.3d 87 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1994) (policy statements contained in Chapter 7 of the Sentencing Guidelines applicable to sentencing a defendant upon revocation of supervised release are advisory only.).

U.S.S.G. § 7B1.1(a). Defendant's original criminal history category was II. The guidelines provide that Defendant's guideline range for a Grade B violation is 6 to 12 months of imprisonment. If the Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant violated his conditions of supervised release by submitting urine specimens that tested positive for methamphetamine as alleged in the petition, he is guilty of a Grade C violation. U.S.S.G. § 7B1.1(a). With Defendant's original criminal history category of II, the guidelines provide that Defendant's guideline range for a Grade C violation is 4 to 10 months of imprisonment.

#### Hearing

On November 12, 2020, Defendant appeared for a final revocation hearing. Assistant United States Attorney Mary Ann Cozby announced that Defendant and the Government reached an agreement for Defendant to enter a plea of true to Allegation 1 of the petition and to jointly request a sentence of 12 months and 1 day of imprisonment with no further supervised release. After the Court explained to Defendant his right to a revocation hearing, he waived his right to a revocation hearing and entered a plea of "true" to Allegation 1 of the petition. Defendant requested a recommendation for designation at FCI Texarkana or, alternatively, FCI Seagoville.

#### Findings and Conclusions

I find that Defendant is competent and that his plea and waiver of the revocation hearing was knowingly and voluntarily made. I accept Defendant's plea and find by a preponderance of the evidence that Allegation 1 of the petition is true. Defendant is guilty of a Grade B supervised release violation. I further find and conclude that Defendant's term of supervised release should be revoked and that he should be sentenced to 12 months and 1 day of imprisonment with no further supervised release. Any criminal history monetary penalties previously ordered in the final

judgment should be imposed in this revocation, with all payments collected credited towards

outstanding balances.

**RECOMMENDATION** 

In light of the foregoing, it is recommended that Defendant's plea of true to Allegation 1

of the petition be **ACCEPTED** and that Defendant's term of supervised release be **REVOKED**.

It is further recommended that Defendant be sentenced to 12 months and 1 day of imprisonment

with no further supervised release. Any criminal monetary penalties previously ordered in the

final judgment should be imposed in this revocation, with all payments collected credited towards

outstanding balances.

Before the conclusion of the hearing, the undersigned announced the foregoing

recommendation and notified Defendant of his right to object to this Report and Recommendation

and to be present and allocute before being sentenced by the Court. Defendant waived those rights

and executed a written waiver in open court. The Government also waived its right to object to

the Report and Recommendation. It is therefore recommended that the Court revoke Defendant's

supervised release and enter a Judgment and Commitment for him to be sentenced to 12 months

and 1 day of imprisonment with no further supervised release.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 12th day of November, 2020.

K. NICOLĘ MITCHELL<sup>(</sup>

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

4